# Performance and Quality Framework 2023-24

**Scottish Information Commissioner** 



# **Contents**

Introduction	. 1
Quality aims	. 1
Performance and Quality Framework 2023-24	. 1
Targets, KPIs, indicators and measures	. 3
Document control sheet	11

## Commissioner's performance and quality framework

#### Introduction

- 1. The Scottish Information Commissioner (Commissioner) considers it important that his office performs its statutory functions and duties to a high standard, meeting the needs and, where practicable, the expectations of people in Scotland exercising their FOI rights.
- 2. Quality assurance is the system by which we measure, report on and achieve continuous improvement in the quality of our work. Performance management is the system by which we monitor and report on organisational outcomes.
- The annual performance and quality framework provides a mechanism against which
  performance and quality can be assessed and reported, internally and publicly. It is
  supported by internal systems which ensure that we can identify and monitor how individual
  performance contributes to organisational outputs.
- 4. In developing and defining our quality measures and the performance framework, the Commissioner recognises that a holistic approach is needed. To achieve our ultimate aims for the delivery of a quality service, the organisation will need to take into account the interdependencies of a range of stakeholders and a range of activities.

#### **Quality aims**

- 5. Good quality for the Commissioner is:
  - Professional, courteous, and understandable communication that provides accurate
    and helpful advice and information to a range of stakeholders. Providing information
    about the Commissioner, access to information legislation and good practice and
    signposting to other relevant organisations. Demonstrating that we are actively
    informing and pursing activity that contributes to openness and the proactive
    dissemination of information in Scotland.
  - Robust decisions on applications that are the result of fair and proportionate investigations. Decisions must be delivered in good time, accessible to the requester and authority, and contribute to the positive development of good FOI practice in Scotland.
  - Demonstrating that our monitoring, promotion, assessment of practice and interventions are improving the FOI experience for requesters and authorities.
  - Operating as efficiently as we can, ensuring we are accountable for how we plan, manage and utilise our resources.

## Performance and Quality Framework 2023-24

- 6. The Performance and Quality Framework 2023-24 (P&QF) is set out below and covers a detailed list of targets and Key Performance Indicators (KPIs), the frequency with which each will be reported upon and where to find the relevant information on our website.
- Our Annual Report for the reporting year will also provide commentary on our performance against a number of the targets and KPIs.

### 8. The functional areas denoted in the P&QF are:

IAP	Improving Authority Practice	QA	Quality Assurance
IRM	Information and Records	RegEnf	Regulation and Enforcement
	Management		
PlanRep	Planning and Reporting	ResMgt	Resource Management
CEP	Communications, Engagement and	_	_
	Policy (External)		

## **Targets, KPIs, indicators and measures**

Communication, proactive dissemination, openness and service standards		(day = working day) (month = calendar month)	Related Operational Plan activities (see key)	Where reported or published on our website (in addition to any reporting in the Annual Report)
Name	Frequency/ date	Measure/ indicator		
Public Services Reform (Scotland) Act 2010 reporting (section 31)	Annually	Report published on website	PlanRep BAU 10	Statutory Reporting
Public Services Reform (Scotland) Act 2010 reporting (section 32)	Annually	Report published on website	PlanRep BAU 10	Statutory Reporting
Satisfaction with our service	Quarterly	Indicator 1 – number of complaints received  • Stage 1 – frontline response  • Stage 2  o directly at investigation escalation¹ from frontline response  Indicator 2 – percentage of complaints at each stage that were closed in full within the set timescales²  • Stage 1 - 5 working days o Target - 100%  • Stage 2 – 20 working days	QA BAU 1	Managing the organisation – SMT minutes

<sup>-</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Escalated complaints are those that have been considered at Stage 1 and then have either moved to Stage 2 at the complainant's request (because the complainant was unhappy with the response at Stage 1) or because they have exceeded the maximum of 5 working days at Stage 1 and, therefore, have automatically been moved to Stage 2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Extensions to these timescales can be authorised in exceptional circumstances. However, if an extension is authorised, the complaint is considered as "late", that is, closed outside the set timescales

Communication, proactive dissemination, openness and service standards		(day = working day) (month = calendar month)	Related Operational Plan activities (see key)	Where reported or published on our website (in addition to any reporting in the Annual Report)
Name	Frequency/ date	Measure/ indicator		
		<ul> <li>Target – 100%</li> <li>Escalation – 20 working days after escalation <ul> <li>Target 100%</li> </ul> </li> <li>Indicator 3³ – average time in working days for a full response to complaints at each stage <ul> <li>Stage 1 - 3 working days – 85%</li> <li>Stage 2 – 15 working days – 85%</li> <li>Escalation – 15 working days – 85%</li> </ul> </li> <li>Indicator 4⁴ – outcome of complaints at each stage</li> <li>Stage 1⁵ <ul> <li>Upheld – less than 15%</li> <li>Partially upheld – less than 15%</li> <li>Not upheld – 60%</li> <li>Resolved<sup>6</sup> - 10%</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		
		<ul> <li>Stage 2<sup>7</sup></li> <li>Upheld – less than 15%</li> <li>Partially upheld – less than 15%</li> </ul>	QA BAU 1	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Indicators 1-3 to be reported quarterly

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Indiactor 4 to be reported annually

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Indicator is a % of all complaints closed at Stage 1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> A complaint is resolved when both the organisation and the customer agree what action (if any) will be taken to provide full and final resolution for the customer, without a decision about whether the complaint is upheld or not upheld. With the introduction of a resolved category there are now four outcome categories to be reported against under the relevant indicators: upheld, partially upheld, not upheld, resolved

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Indicator is a % of all complaints closed at Stage 2

Communication, proactive dissemination, openness and service standards		(day = working day) (month = calendar month)	Related Operational Plan activities (see key)	Where reported or published on our website (in addition to any reporting in the Annual Report)
Name	Frequency/ date	Measure/ indicator		
		<ul><li>Not upheld – 65%%</li><li>Resolved – 5%</li></ul>	QA BAU 1	
	Annually	Report on performance included in Annual Report and Accounts, comprising review of compliments and complaints received	PlanRep BAU 3	Annual Reports and Accounts
Awareness and understanding of FOI rights	At least 2- yearly	90% of the public definitely or think they have heard of FOISA 75% of the public have an understanding of what is meant by FOI	CEP BAU 4	Research and publications
Research, consultation responses and reports	Ad hoc	As set out in the operational plan	CEP BAU 16,18; CAP Proj 1,2 IAP Proj 2	Research and publications
Communication and Engagement Framework: progress and achievement	Annually	As set out in the Communication and Engagement Framework 2021-24	IAP BAU 1,2,3,4,6,7; IAP Proj 1,2 CEP BAU 1–15,17; CEP Proj 1-8	Managing the organisation – SMT minutes  Annual Reports and Accounts

Deciding applications		(day = working day) ( (month = calendar month)	Related Operational Plan activities (see key)	Where reported or published on our website (in addition to the Annual Report)
Name	Frequency/ date	Measure/ indicator		
Dashboard:	Quarterly	Overall valid case closure times	RegEnf BAU 2,3,4	'Dashboard' Reports
statistics and KPIs		70% in 4 months or less		
		85% in 6 months or less		
		97% in 12 months or less		
		Average closure time 4 months or less		
		Time taken to validate applications	RegEnf BAU 2,3,4	<u>'Dashboard'</u> Reports
		70% in 1 month or less		
		90% in 2 months or less		
		97% in 3 months or less		
		'Failure to respond' applications	RegEnf BAU 2,3,4	<u>'Dashboard'</u> Reports
		60% in 1.5 months or less		
		100% in 4 months or less		
		Valid (substantive) applications	RegEnf BAU 2,3,4	<u>'Dashboard' Reports</u>
		50% in 4 months or less		
		75% in 6 months or less		
		95% in 12 months or less		
		Applications received	RegEnf BAU 2,3,4	'Dashboard' Reports
		Table in dashboard		
		Chart by month: current and previous 2 yrs		
		Report as appropriate in the annual report		
		Cases under investigation	RegEnf BAU 2,3,4	'Dashboard' Reports
		Chart by month: current and previous 2 yrs		
		Report as appropriate in the annual report		
		Cases awaiting validation	RegEnf BAU 2,3,4	'Dashboard' Reports
		Chart by month: current and previous 2 yrs		
		Report as appropriate in the annual report		
		Invalid applications	RegEnf BAU 2,3,4	'Dashboard' Reports
		Chart by month: current and previous 2 yrs		
		Report as appropriate in the annual report		
		Caseload age profile	RegEnf BAU 2,3,4	<u>'Dashboard'</u> Reports
		Chart by month quarter and YTD		
		Report as appropriate in the annual report		

Deciding applications		(day = working day) ( (month = calendar month)	Related Operational Plan activities (see key)	Where reported or published on our website (in addition to the Annual Report)
Name	Frequency/ date	Measure/ indicator		
		Average age of closed valid cases By month and YTD by month Report as appropriate in the annual report	RegEnf BAU 2,3,4	<u>'Dashboard' Reports</u>
		Average age of open valid cases By month and YTD by month Report as appropriate in the annual report	RegEnf BAU 2,3,4	<u>'Dashboard' Reports</u>
		Number of Cases Closed As per current table Report as appropriate in the annual report	RegEnf BAU 2,3,4	<u>'Dashboard' Reports</u>
	Quarterly	Trends and commentary Posted on website with dashboard and reported in Annual Report Report to QSMTM	RegEnf BAU 2,3,4	<u>'Dashboard' Reports</u>
Robust, current and proportionate investigations	Periodic & ad hoc	Clear procedures that are monitored and reviewed/updated in line with Register of Key Documents	IRM BAU 4	Guide to Information (Class 2)
Clear Enforcement Policy	Periodic & ad hoc	Clear procedures that are monitored and reviewed/updated in line with Register of Key Documents	IRM BAU 4	Guide to Information (Class 2)

Monitoring, promoting, assessing FOI performance		(day = working day) (month = calendar month)	Related Operational Plan activities (see key)	Where reported or published on our website (in addition to the Annual Report)
Name	Frequency/ date	Measure/ indicator		
Capturing and disseminating good practice and lessons learned	Monthly	Decisions and learning round-up within email newsletter views	IAP BAU 3 CEP BAU 4	Decisions learning and email newsletter
	Monthly	Up-to-date published information on learning from decisions	IAP BAU 3 CEP BAU 4	Decisions learning and email newsletter
	Ad hoc	Special and periodic reporting and communication	As set out in the operational plan	Published as appropriate
Collect, collate and publish national FOI statistics	Quarterly	Published quarterly data	IAP BAU 5	FOI and EIR statistics database
Publication Schemes	Annually	Publication Scheme notifications for new bodies completed:  • 80% within one month of due date  • 100% notified or enforcement commenced within 3 months of due date	RegEnf BAU 9	Managing the organisation – SMT minutes
Feedback reports from events and training	Report following each event	80% or more of participants in training, events or presentations given across year who respond, report main learning goal set for the session achieved (such as increased confidence in dealing with FOI requests)	IAP BAU 2 CEP BAU 6,7	Managing the organisation – SMT minutes
Publish and maintain guidance, briefings etc	As needed and periodically	Review in line with Register of Key Documents	IAP BAU 7 RegEnf BAU 10	Briefings and Guidance
Publish, maintain and report on use of self-assessment tools for authorities	Annual	Assessment of the use and effectiveness of the self-assessment tools	IAP BAU 7	Managing the organisation – SMT minutes
Conduct appropriate interventions to improve authority FOI practice and publish summaries of intervention activity	Quarterly	Clear procedures that are monitored and reviewed/ updated in line with Register of Key Documents Publish quarterly reports on intervention activity	RegEnf BAU 7,8	Guide to Information (Class 2)  Managing the organisation – SMT minutes

Operational performance		(day = working day) (month = calendar month)	Related Operational Plan activities (see key)	Where reported or published on our website (in addition to the Annual Report)
Name	Frequency/ date	Measure/ indicator		
Annual Report and Accounts (ARA)	Annually – in accordance with statutory timescales	<ul> <li>Satisfactory independent audit and report report received</li> <li>Independent Auditor's report included in the ARA</li> <li>Clearance to lay ARA obtained from Audit Scotland</li> <li>ARA laid in Scottish Parliament by 31 October</li> </ul>	PlanRep BAU 1,2,3, PlanRep 1,2,3,4,5	Annual Reports and Accounts
Maintain a compliant publication scheme and guide to information	Annually	Assurance Report to SMT	IRM BAU 6,7	Managing the organisation – SMT minutes
Up-to-date and effective governance framework	Annually	Assurance Report to SMT	PlanRep BAU 14 PlanRep BAU15	Managing the organisation – SMT minutes
Prompt payment of invoices	Annually	95% of undisputed invoices in 10 days or fewer 100% of undisputed invoices in 30 days or fewer	ResMgt BAU 3	Managing the organisation – SMT minutes
Information and Records Management	Annually	Assurance Report to SMT Manage Key Documents as per agreed review programme	IRM BAU 2 IRM BAU 4	Managing the organisation – SMT minutes
Time taken to respond to enquiries	Six-monthly	Respond to enquiries 90% in 5 days 95% in 20 days	PlanRep BAU 9	Managing the organisation – SMT minutes
Compliance with FOISA/ EIRs statutory timescales	Quarterly	100% of request responses in 20 days 100% of review responses in 20 days	IRM BAU 8	Managing the organisation – SMT minutes

Operational performance		(day = working day) (month = calendar month)	Related Operational Plan activities (see key)	Where reported or published on our website (in addition to the Annual Report)
Name	Frequency/ date	Measure/ indicator		
Compliance with SAR timescales	Quarterly	100% within one month <sup>8</sup> of receiving a request or of receiving:  any information requested to confirm the requester's identity or  any fee (if one has been charged)	IRM BAU 9	Managing the organisation – SMT minutes
Compliance with RPSI statutory timescales	Six-monthly	100% of re-use request responses in 20 days 90% of re-use complaints in 20 days	IRM BAU 10	Managing the organisation – SMT minutes

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See C5 Data Protection Policy and Handbook - the time limit is calculated from the day the request is received (whether or not it is a working day) until the corresponding calendar date in the next month and the exact number of days for complying will vary depending on the month in which the request is made

# **Document control sheet**

Document Information			
Full name of current version: Class, Title, Version No and Status. E.g. C1 MOU Between the SIC and the IC v01	C7 Performance and Quality Framework 2023-24 CURRENT VERSION		
VC File Id	192304		
Туре	Plan		
Approver	SMT		
Responsible Manager	Commissioner		
Date of next planned review	March/April 2024		
Approval & Publication			
Approval Date of current major version	10/08/2023		
For publication (Y/N)	Υ		
Date published	11/08/2023		
Name of document in website file library	PerformanceandQualityFramework202324		
Technical Changes / Unplanned or Ad hoc reviews (see Summary of changes below for details)			
Date of last update			

Summarv	Summary of changes to document					
Date	Action by	Version updated	New version number	Brief description		
	(initials)	(e.g. v01.25-36)	(e.g. v01.27, or 02.03)	(e.g. updated paras 1-8, updated HOPI to HOCS, reviewed whole section on PI test, whole document updated, corrected typos, reformatted to new branding)		
10/08/23	BOW	01.00	01.01	New document created following approval of draft		
10/08/23	BOW	01.01	01.02	DCS updated, published on website		

#### **Scottish Information Commissioner**

Kinburn Castle Doubledykes Road St Andrews, Fife KY16 9DS

t 01334 464610 f 01334 464611 enquiries@itspublicknowledge.info

#### www.itspublicknowledge.info

© Scottish Information Commissioner 2023

You may use and re-use this information (not including logos) free of charge in any format or medium, under the terms of the Open Government Licence v3.0. To view this licence, visit http://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/version/3/